	Case 1:21-cv-00327-DAD-EPG Document	61 Filed 06/02/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	WDICTINI II ADDV	C N- 1-21 00227 DAD EDC (DC)
11	KRISTIN HARDY,  Plaintiff,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00327-DAD-EPG (PC) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
12		MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY
13	V.	(ECF No. 60)
14	R. MORENO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Kristin Hardy ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
18	this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On May 18, 2022, the Court issued a scheduling order. (ECF No. 58). Among other	
20	things, the Court ordered that "[a] party may serve on any other party no more than 15	
21	interrogatories, 15 requests for production of documents, and 15 requests for admission. If a	
22	party wishes to serve additional discovery requests, that party may file a motion for additional	
23	discovery requests with the Court, explaining why additional discovery requests are necessary."	
24	( <u>Id.</u> at 3).	
25	On May 31, 2022, Plaintiff filed a request to take additional discovery. (ECF No. 60).	
26	Plaintiff asks that he be allowed to serve 25 interrogatories and 27 requests for admission on each	
27	party. Plaintiff states that he cannot afford to depose any defendant or any non-party witness, so	
28	he needs to serve additional interrogatories and requests for admission instead. Additionally, as	

## Case 1:21-cv-00327-DAD-EPG Document 61 Filed 06/02/22 Page 2 of 2 defendant Ceballos has not appeared to defend against the suit, Plaintiff will be unable to serve discovery requests on this defendant regarding his role in the alleged incidents. While Plaintiff does not identify any specific interrogatories or requests for admission that he cannot serve because of the limits set by the Court, the Court finds good cause to grant Plaintiff's motion. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff may serve on each other party up to 25 interrogatories and 27 requests for admission.<sup>1</sup> IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **June 2, 2022** <sup>1</sup> The Court notes that in Plaintiff's conclusion, Plaintiff asks that he be allowed to serve additional requests for production of documents as well. However, Plaintiff does not ask for this relief in the body of his motion. Moreover, the Court ordered the parties to exchange certain relevant documents without requiring a discovery request. (ECF No. 59). Accordingly, the Court will not allow Plaintiff to serve additional requests for production of documents at this time.